UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,306	09/18/2003	Michael Smith	CISCP350/8062	7927
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 05/15/200 'ER LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 7025	-		ROBERTS, BRIAN S	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,306	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRIAN ROBERTS	2619	

2010	
vith the correspondence add	lress
N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
t, affidavit, or other evidence, v npliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
the mailing date of the final rejection	on.
g amount of the fee. The appropri reply originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
must be filed within two month	s of the date of
37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	ecause
(see NOTE below);	
erially reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
inally rejected claims.	
f Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	-
b)	xplanation of
der appeal and/or appellant fail ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
ns after entry is below or attach	ed.
lication in condition for allowar	ce because:
·	
DIP IN THE STATE OF THE STATE O	with the correspondence add on FOR ALLOWANCE. Notice of Appeal. To avoid abaint, affidavit, or other evidence, wompliance with 37 CFR 41.31; of the filed within one of the followard by the filed within the final rejection, which is the mailing date of the final rejection, and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the filed within two months and filed with

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amending the limitation "master" to "slave" in independent claim 1 and the new limitation "A computer-readable storage medium" in independent claim 31 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. Regarding the new limitation "computer-readable storage medium", the limitation would raise new issue related to 35 USC 101 because the specification defines the "computer-readable storage medium" to include a "carrier wave traveling over an appropriate medium such as airwaves, optical lines, electric lines, etc".